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## 1. The result

- We will end these lectures on QFT methods and Measures of Entanglement by showing an explicit computation which shown the intricacies of the twist field approach and the analytic continuation in $n$.
- We will prove that the EE of a single interval of length $\ell>\xi$ has the following universal behaviour in massive QFT:


## Universal Exponential Corrections to Saturation

$$
S(\ell)-\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} S(\ell)=-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} K_{0}\left(2 m_{\alpha} \ell\right)+O\left(e^{-3 m_{1} \ell}\right)
$$

- That is, there are exponentially decaying corrections to saturation which are led by the mass of the lightest particle in the spectrum $m_{1}$.
- This results was shown first in Cardy, Castro-Alvaredo, Doyon (2008) and the proven by Doyon to hold for any $1+1$ dimensional QFT (even non-integrable).


## 2. Starting Point

- Recall that

$$
S(\ell)=-\lim _{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial h(n)}{\partial n} \quad \text { with } \quad h(n)=\epsilon^{4 \Delta \mathcal{T}}\langle\mathcal{T}(0) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\ell)\rangle
$$

- So the basic object we need to compute is the two-point function:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\mathcal{T}(0) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\ell)\rangle=\langle\mathcal{T}\rangle^{2}+\sum_{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}\left(F_{1}^{\mathcal{T} \mid \mu}(\theta)\right)^{*}\left(F_{1}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \mid \mu}(\theta)\right) e^{-\ell m_{\mu}} \cosh \theta \\
+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta_{1}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta_{2}}{2 \pi}\left(F_{2}^{\mathcal{T} \mid \mu_{1} \mu_{2}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\right)^{*}\left(F_{2}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \mid \mu_{1} \mu_{2}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\right) e^{-\ell m_{\mu_{1}} \cosh \theta_{1}-\ell m_{\mu_{2}} \cosh \theta_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
+\cdots
$$

## 3. Some Simplifications

- We have just seen the most general expansion up to twoparticle form factors.
- Let us consider now a simple case: a theory with a single particle in the spectrum.
- In that case we can label particles just by the copy number $j=1 \ldots n$.
- We also know the twist field is a spinless field: one-particle form factors are rapidity-independent and they are all equal because all copies are identical: $F_{1}^{\mathcal{T} \mid \mu}(\theta):=F_{1}(n)$.
- Two-particle form factors only depend on rapidity differences: $F_{2}^{\mathcal{T} \mid \mu_{1} \mu_{2}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right):=F_{2}^{i j}(\theta, n)$ and $F_{2}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \mid \mu_{1} \mu_{2}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right):=$ $\tilde{F}_{2}^{i j}(\theta, n)$ with $\theta=\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$.
- Finally, recall that all form factors are zero at $n=1$.


## 4. First Term: Saturation

- The first term in the expansion of the two-point function is the expectation value of twist fields. This is a function of $n$ which is only known for free theories.
- This term characterizes saturation of EE for large sub-systems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} S(\ell) & =-\lim _{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\epsilon^{4 \Delta_{\mathcal{T}}}\langle\mathcal{T}\rangle^{2}\right)=-\frac{c}{3} \log \epsilon-2 \lim _{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial\langle\mathcal{T}\rangle}{\partial n} \\
& =-\frac{c}{3} \log (\epsilon m)-U \quad \text { with } \quad\langle\mathcal{T}\rangle=m^{2 \Delta_{\mathcal{T}}} U_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

- and $U=2 \lim _{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial U_{n}}{\partial n}$. Note that $U$ is a universal constant in the sense that it does not depend on the cut-off $\epsilon$, hence can be uniquely determined for each QFT.
- For a theory with a single particle the one-particle form factor contribution can be written simply as

$$
n\left|F_{1}(n)\right|^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} e^{-\ell m \cosh \theta}=\frac{n}{\pi}\left|F_{1}(n)\right|^{2} K_{0}(m \ell)
$$

- This provides the leading correction to saturation of the two-point function, however it vanishes under differentiation w.r.t. $n$ and limit $n \rightarrow 1$.
- This is because $F_{1}(1)=F_{1}(1)^{*}=0$.
- This means that the one-particle form factors (if they are non-vanishing) will provide the leading correction to the Rényi entropies but no contribution to the EE.


## 6. Third Term: Two-Particle Form Factor

- For a theory with a single particle two-particle form factor sum can be simplified as:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(F_{2}^{i j}(\theta, n)\right)^{*}\left(\tilde{F}_{2}^{i j}(\theta, n)\right)=n \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(F_{2}^{1 j}(\theta, n)\right)^{*}\left(\tilde{F}_{2}^{1 j}(\theta, n)\right)
$$

because all copies are identical. Using the identities we saw in the previous lecture:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(F_{2}^{1 j}(\theta, n)\right)^{*}\left(\tilde{F}_{2}^{1 j}(\theta, n)\right) & =n\left|F_{2}^{11}(\theta, n)\right|^{2}+n \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|F_{2}^{11}(-\theta+2 \pi i(j-1), n)\right|^{2} \\
& =n\left|F_{2}^{11}(\theta, n)\right|^{2}+n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|F_{2}^{11}(-\theta+2 \pi i j, n)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The derivative at $n=1$ of the term $\left|F_{2}^{11}(\theta, n)\right|^{2}$ will be zero because $F_{2}^{11}(\theta, 1)=F_{2}^{11}(\theta, 1)^{*}=0$. So it will contribute to the Rényi entropies but not to the EE.


## 7. In Summary: Leading Correction to EE

- In summary, we need to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4} \lim _{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \beta}{2 \pi} n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|F_{2}^{11}(-\theta+2 \pi i j, n)\right|^{2} e^{-2 m \ell \cosh \frac{\theta}{2} \cosh \frac{\beta}{2}}\right) \\
& \text { with } \theta=\theta_{1}-\theta_{2} \text { and } \beta=\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The integral in $\beta$ can be carried out giving a Bessel function. So, we end up with:

$$
-\lim _{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{(2 \pi)^{2}} n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|F_{2}^{11}(-\theta+2 \pi i j, n)\right|^{2} K_{0}\left(2 m \ell \cosh \frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right)
$$

- In order to take the derivative, we need to somehow get rid of the sum up to $n-1$.
- A well-known way of doing this is to use the cotangent trick.


## 8.Cotangent Trick I

- The idea is that the sum may be replaced by a contour integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint d z \pi \cot (\pi z) s(z, \theta, n)
$$

with $s(z, \theta, n)=\left|F_{2}^{11}(-\theta+2 \pi i z, n)\right|^{2}$, in such a way that the sum of the residues of poles of the cotangent enclosed by contour reproduces the original sum.


- Here the red crosses represent the poles of the cotangent at $z=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and the blue crosses represent other poles in the contour due to the kinematic poles of the function $s(z, n)$ at $z=\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\theta}{2 \pi i}$ and $z=n-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\theta}{2 \pi i}$.
- We shift $i L \rightarrow i L-\epsilon$ so as to avoid the pole at $z=n$. It includes $z=0$ but this does not affect the result.


## 9.Cotangent Trick II

- Since $s(z, \theta, n)$ decays exponentially as $\operatorname{Im}(z) \rightarrow \pm \infty$ so we can show that the contributions to the contour integral of the horizontal segments vanish.
- The contribution of the vertical segments can be written as:

$$
-\frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(S(\theta-\beta) S(\theta+\beta)-1) \operatorname{coth} \frac{\beta}{2} s(\beta, \theta, n) d \beta
$$

where $\beta=2 \pi i z$ and $S(\theta)$ is the $S$-matrix. Here we used the property $s(z+n, \theta, n)=S(\theta-2 \pi i z) S(\theta+2 \pi i z) s(z, \theta, n)$.

- Note that this is zero for free theories. Its derivative at $n=1$ is zero for similar reasons as before.
- Finally we are left with the contributions from the residues of the kinematic poles. They give:

$$
\tanh \frac{\theta}{2} \operatorname{Im}\left(F_{2}^{11}(-2 \theta+i \pi, n)-F_{2}^{11}(-2 \theta+2 \pi i n-i \pi, n)\right)
$$

## 10. Derivative

- From these results, we already have an expression for the two-particle contribution to the Rényi entropies.
- However, our aim is to understand the derivative w.r.t. $n$ of this function.
- We have already argued that the only two-particle contribution to the derivative comes from:

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(F_{2}^{11}(-2 \theta+i \pi, n)-F_{2}^{11}(-2 \theta+2 \pi i n-i \pi, n)\right) \tanh \frac{\theta}{2}
$$

- Based on previous observations, it would seem that this should be zero as $F^{11}(\theta, 1)=0$. However, something special happens to this function as $n \rightarrow 1$ and $\theta \rightarrow 0$ simultaneously.
- This is due to the fact that as $n \rightarrow 1$ the two kinematic poles at $i \pi$ and $i \pi(2 n-1)$ of the form factors collide giving a double pole for $\theta \neq 0$.
- For $\theta=0$ however, there are no poles and the function is simply $\frac{1}{2}$ (for all $n \neq 1$ ). It is however 0 at $n=1$ !


## 11. A Picture: Better than 1000 Words



The sum $n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|F_{2}^{11}(-\theta+2 \pi i j, n)\right|^{2}$ for $\theta=0$ in the Ising model (blue) and the sinh-Gordon model (red).

- Another way to write this is to note that near $n=1$ and $\theta=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Im}\left(F_{2}^{11}(-2 \theta+i \pi, n)-F_{2}^{11}(-2 \theta+2 \pi i n-i \pi, n)\right) \tanh \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\sim- \\
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i \pi(n-1)}{2(\theta+i \pi(n-1))}-\frac{i \pi(n-1)}{2(\theta-i \pi(n-1))}\right) \sim \frac{\pi^{2}(n-1)}{2} \delta(\theta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

near $n=1$ and $\theta=0$.

- Putting this result back into the $\theta$ integral and differentiating w.r.t. $n$ we obtain the two-particle form factor contribution:

$$
-\frac{1}{8} K_{0}(2 m \ell)
$$

- The result is striking for its simplicity. From the derivation we see that it follows from the pole structure of the FFs, which is universal.
- For this reason the same result can even be found for nonintegrable models.

